| 1 | BEFORE THE | COMMICCION |
|----|---|----------------------|
| 2 | ILLINOIS COMMERCE | COMMISSION |
| 3 | IN THE MATTER OF: |) |
| 4 | SERGIO GARCIA, |) |
| 5 | VS. |) No. 13-0542) |
| 6 | COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY |) |
| 7 | Complaint as to false accusa of tampering with meters and billing/charges in Chicago, | |
| 8 | Illinois. |) |
| 9 | _ | Illinois 19, 2015 |
| 10 | Met pursuant to notice | at 11:00 a.m. |
| 11 | BEFORE: | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | MR. JOHN T. RILEY, Adminis | trative Law Judge. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | MR. MOSA A. ELMOSA 7265 West 87th Street | | | |
| 3 | Bridgeview, Illinois 60455 Appearing on behalf of the Complainant | | | |
| 4 | (Telephonically); | | | |
| 5 | GRAHAM & GRAHAM, LLP, by MS. REBECCA A. GRAHAM | | | |
| 6 | 115 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2600 Chicago, Illinois 60603 | | | |
| 7 | Appearing on behalf of Commonwealth Edison Company. | | | |
| 8 | NICO DDECEME. | | | |
| 9 | ALSO PRESENT: | | | |
| LO | Ms. Erin Beuchler, Commonwealth Edison Company | | | |
| L1 | | | | |
| L2 | | | | |
| L3 | | | | |
| L4 | | | | |
| L5 | | | | |
| L6 | | | | |
| L7 | | | | |
| L8 | | | | |
| L9 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | GULLIUM DEDODETNO GOMPANA 1 | | | |
| 2 2 | SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by | | | |

| 1 | | <u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u> | |
|----|---------------|--|--------------------|
| 2 | | Re- Re- | Dyr |
| 3 | Witnesses: | Direct Cross direct cross | |
| 4 | None. | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | <u>E X H I B I T S</u> | |
| 10 | NTla | | Tu Duiden |
| 11 | Number | For Identification | <u>In Evidence</u> |
| 12 | None so marke | α. | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Docket 13-0542.
- 3 This is a complaint by Sergio Garcia versus
- 4 Commonwealth Edison Company as to false accusations
- of tampering with meters and billing charges in
- 6 Chicago, Illinois.
- 7 Mr. Elmosa, would you enter an
- 8 appearance for the record stating your name and
- 9 office address.
- 10 MR. ELMOSA: For the record, Mosa Elmosa,
- 11 E-l-m-o-s-a, address 7265 West 87th Street in
- 12 Bridgeview, Illinois. Phone number is (708) 430-8706
- 13 for the complainant.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: And for the respondent?
- MS. GRAHAM: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison
- 16 Company, Rebecca Graham, 115 South LaSalle Street,
- 17 Suite 2600, Chicago, Illinois 60603. My telephone
- number is (312) 505-8154. And with me this morning
- is Erin Beuchler from ComEd.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And to begin with,
- 21 Miss Graham, you have a couple of motions pending.
- One was to compel the complainant to file testimony

- 1 and the other one was to bar testimony after it had
- 2 not been filed. I have done some, I don't know, soul
- 3 searching over both the motions and one, I don't -- I
- 4 did send out an ALJ ruling compelling the filing of
- 5 the testimony. Counsel did not file it and I have
- 6 since come to the conclusion that I would have to
- 7 really rescind that order. I do not believe that I
- 8 have the power or the authority to compel the filing
- 9 of testimony and, consequently, I would have to deny
- 10 your motion to bar the filing of -- bar his testimony
- 11 now because I don't believe I also have that
- 12 authority.
- 13 That being taken care of then,
- 14 Mr. Elmosa, the -- I have finally found something in
- 15 the Secretary of State's database. The actual name
- 16 of the corporation that we're dealing with here is
- 17 Super Mexi, M-e-x-i, Corporation and Mr. Garcia is
- 18 listed as the agent.
- 19 MR. ELMOSA: Super Mexi Corporation?
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 21 MR. ELMOSA: Yes, Sergio Garcia is registered
- 22 agent; Spiros Polymenaros --

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 2 MR. ELMOSA: Is the president -- current
- 3 president's name, but Sergio is also an officer of
- 4 the company.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: He is --
- 6 MR. ELMOSA: And there's also another one who
- 7 is Saed Hamad (phonetic) -- Saed -- what's the last
- 8 name -- Haffa -- that is also an owner of the
- 9 company.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Do you know what Mr. Garcia
- 11 position is? What his title is?
- MR. ELMOSA: I don't know. I think he's vice
- president or secretary, but I don't know for sure;
- but he's somebody registered, I think, currently on
- 15 the Secretary of State Web Site.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Right. That's what it says. And
- 17 you're sure that he is an officer?
- MR. ELMOSA: If you want to give me 2 minutes,
- 19 I can verify that.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 21 MR. ELMOSA: Give me one second, please.
- 22 (Discussion off the record.)

- 1 MR. ELMOSA: Hello?
- JUDGE RILEY: Yes.
- 3 MR. ELMOSA: Sergio Garcia, as I understand, he
- 4 is the president; Spiros is the vice
- 5 president/secretary of the company.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. What was the name of the
- 7 third person that you said was also an officer?
- 8 MR. ELMOSA: S-a-e-d, last name
- 9 M-o-h-a-m-m-a-d. He is not an officer, what he is is
- 10 he's the general manager of the store.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- MR. ELMOSA: But he's not part of the -- as an
- officer of the company.
- 14 He was listed on our production
- 15 documents as a witness.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. What we need now is I
- 17 need a motion from you to amend the app- -- to amend
- 18 the complaint reflecting that Super Mexi Corporation
- is the proper name -- is the proper complainant.
- MR. ELMOSA: Okay.
- JUDGE RILEY: Go ahead.
- 22 MR. ELMOSA: No, that's fine. I think I

- 1 prepared -- but I think they sent it back to me, they
- 2 said -- I think I just had -- I think didn't do the
- 3 motion properly, so I have the motion so I can file
- 4 it, you know, relatively quickly, by tomorrow.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well, just anything that's
- 6 sent to the Clerk's Office has to have an original
- 7 signature and it has to -- I'm not sure if it has to
- 8 be verified or not, but it --
- 9 MR. ELMOSA: I'll have it verified.
- JUDGE RILEY: Yeah. And it does have to have
- 11 an original signature.
- 12 MR. ELMOSA: Okay.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Now, with that said, we are
- 14 proceeding in violation of a statute because we have
- long since past the one year deadline for these
- 16 matters and I know that this matter was extended
- 17 once, but we're substantially past that now. Would
- 18 it be possible for the parties to execute another
- 19 agreement for at least 60 days? And when I say
- 20 "would it be possible," it actually is necessary.
- MS. GRAHAM: Yes, Judge, we can do that.
- MR. ELMOSA: That's fine with me.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: And now, Mr. Elmosa, you said
- 2 your witness was not able to make it to the morning
- 3 version of this hearing and you had requested we go
- 4 till 2:00 o'clock this afternoon and I found out from
- 5 Commonwealth Edison that they're not available this
- 6 afternoon. How did you -- did your witness miss the
- 7 train?
- 8 MR. ELMOSA: I don't know if he missed the
- 9 Amtrak train, he's coming in from, I think, from
- 10 Milwaukee and I think there was one coming in at
- 11 8:00 o'clock and he would have been here by 10:30 or
- 12 something and he called me and he said -- I think
- 13 either he had missed it or the train didn't work this
- 14 morning or something, there was something, but he
- 15 missed that first train and he was going to get on
- 16 the next train and he would arrive here by 12:30.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Here's the situation,
- 18 I'm going to give the complainant one more crack at
- 19 this. I'm going to give him one more date and it's
- 20 going to be a make or break date to present a witness
- 21 and to wrap this matter up. Counsel?
- MR. ELMOSA: Sure.

- 1 MS. GRAHAM: Judge, I just want to point out
- 2 for the record that this is the second time this has
- 3 happened. The last time we had a hearing date, we
- 4 were here with five representatives from ComEd ready
- 5 to put on their case and, you know, we've expended an
- 6 extraordinary amount of time dragging this thing out
- 7 due to complainant's repeated failures to comply with
- 8 your orders. So, I mean, if we want to have another
- 9 date, fine; but I think it's only fair that we know
- 10 exactly who is going to be at the hearing that either
- 11 Sergio Garcia or, you know, some other representative
- 12 of the company be here. We haven't even seen a
- 13 representative of the company since this thing has
- 14 been filed, you know, and that's kind of why we asked
- 15 for the written testimony is because we need to be
- able to be prepared for rebuttal and for cross. So,
- 17 I mean, if Counsel would agree to file written
- 18 testimony, that would certainly be helpful. If he
- 19 won't agree to that, at the very least, I think it
- 20 needs to be clear who he's going to show up with at
- 21 this next hearing date.
- MR. ELMOSA: Well, we had already disclosed the

- 1 witnesses. I don't think anything has changed.
- 2 There will be approximately two witnesses for sure,
- 3 which is Paul Hanson, the expert and Saed Mohammad,
- 4 general manager and possibly Sergio Garcia but I
- 5 understand from Sergio Garcia that he spends a lot of
- 6 time back home in Mexico and he leaves everything up
- 7 to Saed, so I won't anticipate -- I don't anticipate
- 8 Sergio showing up as I think the general manager
- 9 knows more information than Saed does -- then Sergio
- 10 does. So I would only assume there will be only two
- 11 witnesses.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Is it possible that you could
- 13 file prefile testimony?
- 14 MR. ELMOSA: In regards to Mr. Hanson, we
- 15 already filed the report that he prepared, but I
- 16 haven't talked to my client about preparing the
- 17 actual written report. I mean, I don't -- for the
- other witness, so at this time, I can't agree to
- 19 that.
- JUDGE RILEY: Well, it's -- Counsel makes an
- 21 excellent point is that they have to have a chance to
- 22 prepare and they have to have some idea what these

- 1 people are going to testify to so they know who to
- 2 bring in for rebuttal, if necessary. It's just a
- 3 matter of due process.
- 4 MR. ELMOSA: Well, I can give them a rough
- 5 outline of what -- but they already know what Paul
- 6 Hanson is going to testify to. There is a report, a
- 7 final report that he had prepared.
- 8 MR. GRAHAM: So you're saying his only
- 9 testimony is going to be as to that report? Which
- 10 wasn't filed, by the way, you just sent it to me via
- 11 e-mail.
- 12 MR. ELMOSA: Correct. Well, I understood
- discovery was not supposed to be filed, but I don't
- 14 know if discovery was supposed to be stamped and
- 15 filed because when we had previously sent discovery
- 16 to the Commerce, it was sent back. So it was my
- 17 understanding that discovery is not supposed to be
- 18 filed.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: My question is, is Mr. Hanson
- 20 going to testify to the foundation?
- 21 MR. ELMOSA: Yeah, he's going to testify to his
- foundation, he's going to testify to the report and

- 1 what he did in his -- he visited the site. I don't
- 2 think that's in the report, but he will testify that
- 3 when he went and visited the site with the ComEd
- 4 represent -- I can also prepare a brief-- I mean,
- 5 just to make things safe -- just to make things
- 6 happy, I could prepare some kind of rough outline
- 7 exactly what they will testify to, yes, I can do
- 8 that, that's -- but can't prepare a detailed
- 9 description, but I will prepare an outline of what
- 10 they will testify to and what discussions that
- 11 Mr. Hanson had and which ComEd representative he was
- 12 with when he went out to the site.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. That gives Counsel some
- idea of who to bring in.
- MR. ELMOSA: Sure we can do that.
- 16 MS. GRAHAM: Within a reasonable amount of
- 17 time, too. We are going to need a few weeks, at
- least, before the next date to be able to review
- 19 that.
- 20 MR. ELMOSA: When would you want it by?
- MS. GRAHAM: Well, I guess depends on when the
- 22 next date is set.

- JUDGE RILEY: Yeah.
- 2 MS. GRAHAM: So if we could set that. And
- 3 also, just to be clear for the record, when Mr.
- 4 Elmosa say is amending this complaint, it's just to
- 5 change the entity name; correct?
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: That's correct.
- 7 MS. GRAHAM: Since we already put on our case,
- 8 so there's not going to be any changing of the
- 9 allegations that are already in the existing
- 10 complaint?
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Absolutely not. No. The only
- thing I will address is the change of the name to
- 13 match the Secretary of State's records and it says
- 14 Super Mexi Corporation.
- And I don't know, Mr. Elmosa, if you
- 16 know how to access the Secretary of State -- it's a
- 17 Web Site called Cyber Drive.
- MR. ELMOSA: Yeah, we have that.
- JUDGE RILEY: If you put in the word "Super
- 20 Mexi Corporation, " it will come up with the details.
- 21 MR. ELMOSA: Yeah, I have that.
- 22 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Now, we've got to talk

- 1 about time. We're at the -- and, Mr. Elmosa, do you
- 2 have any idea how long it's going to take you to
- 3 prepare that brief?
- 4 MR. ELMOSA: I don't anticipate it to be a
- 5 complicated brief. I mean, I expect to maybe have a
- 6 brief outline of what they will testify. Now, let's
- 7 say that there is something in that outline that is
- 8 actually asked in the testimony, is it going to be
- 9 barred? I mean, are you guys limiting me to whatever
- 10 I put in the report and if I say -- it's a little
- 11 more complicated to me agreeing to that. I can give
- 12 you a brief outline, but if you're asking me whatever
- 13 I put on the direct and that is not in the brief, is
- 14 that going to be barred?
- JUDGE RILEY: Well, it's not an easy question
- 16 to answer right now.
- 17 MR. ELMOSA: I mean, I don't know if -- I don't
- 18 know, I think they could have did a deposition and if
- 19 she still wants to do a deposition of either party, I
- 20 mean, that's a possibility; but, I mean, I can't
- 21 limit my brief simply to what's going to be in the
- 22 direct. I mean, there may be something in his report

- or, you know, he keeps looking to the report, so I
- 2 can't -- but I don't think anything completely
- 3 outside the scope, but there might be something that
- 4 is asked on direct and I don't want that to be an
- 5 issue if it's not part of my -- in the report that
- 6 I'm going to provide or some kind of explanation of
- 7 what they're going to testify to.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: No, we're not trying to file a
- 9 motion in limine here, but if you just give -- keep
- 10 it a broad outline, if you would and say that, you
- 11 know, he's going to testify to such and such, but may
- 12 also go into other areas.
- MR. ELMOSA: I will do my best.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. All right. Because, no, I
- do not at this point want to make any kind of a
- 16 ruling about barring testimony; but, okay mand that's
- 17 with regard to Mr. Hanson; is that correct?
- MR. ELMOSA: Yeah. And the other party, Saed.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. And were you going to --
- 20 well, again, let's go back to how long it's going to
- 21 take you to get that outline to Counsel.
- MR. ELMOSA: Well, how far out are we going for

- 1 a trial?
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: Again, that depends on how long
- 3 it's going to take --
- 4 MR. ELMOSA: I would say maximum, I mean,
- 5 14 days; but just to be safe, 21.
- 6 MS. GRAHAM: That puts us to March 12th.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 8 MR. ELMOSA: So where are we going out to?
- 9 We're at 19, 20, so we go to March 12th and I don't
- 10 know how long they want -- if they're going to review
- it, I don't need to reply to it. Is it simply that
- 12 you guys are going to look over or are you going to
- 13 respond back? I don't...
- 14 MS. GRAHAM: I mean, Mr. Elmosa, I don't think
- 15 that we're going to be responding in writing to your
- 16 outline of testimony --
- 17 MR. ELMOSA: Okay.
- 18 MS. GRAHAM: -- assuming that you get it to us
- 19 in time. I mean, if you'll recall, we set a
- 20 discovery schedule, that wasn't followed, last year
- 21 and you were ordered to give us a report by
- 22 August 21st, which you didn't provide until January

- 1 28th. So I'm going assume that you're going to have
- 2 it to us in a timely fashion otherwise, you know,
- 3 we'll have to take action accordingly; but the ball
- 4 is kind of in your court here because you've had --
- 5 MR. ELMOSA: In regards --
- 6 MS. GRAHAM: -- an issue getting your expert
- 7 here twice now. So when can you be sure that your
- 8 expert is available?
- 9 MR. ELMOSA: Hold on. We're talking about the
- 10 report still, aren't we? We're not talking about the
- 11 hearing date, are we?
- MS. GRAHAM: We're kind of talking about both;
- 13 right?
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: We can't set a hearing date until
- 15 we get the rest of this schedule set.
- MS. GRAHAM: Exactly.
- MR. ELMOSA: Okay. So March 12th should be
- 18 fine. I don't anticipate the outline that I'm going
- 19 to provide -- by Mr. Hanson to be -- it might be a
- 20 little bit more than what he put in the report and it
- 21 should be simple. In regards to Saed, I don't think
- it's going to be that complicated either. He's just

- 1 going to testify to any conversations he had with the
- 2 ComEd representatives and what his knowledge of the
- 3 business is and the store is; but I don't anticipate
- 4 the expert's testimony to be much extended past the
- 5 report, but there might be -- he still didn't talk
- 6 about any conversation, which I'll address that in
- 7 the report what he will talk about.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well --
- 9 MR. ELMOSA: I think March 12th should be
- 10 sufficient.
- JUDGE RILEY: One flag just went off with me.
- 12 With regard to conversations with ComEd employees,
- 13 will he id- -- will you be able to identify who those
- 14 employees were?
- MR. ELMOSA: I think so, yeah, because there
- 16 was -- ComEd -- we set up an appointment with them
- 17 back in -- I can't remember when.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 MR. ELMOSA: When he was there with the ComEd
- 20 representatives and we set it up, I think, with
- 21 Mrs. Graham and there was representatives there when
- 22 he went out there.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Right. The conversations, again,
- 2 for now are hearsay.
- 3 MR. ELMOSA: Well, I'll put that in the
- 4 report --
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 6 MR. ELMOSA: -- and then if it's somebody -- I
- 7 think it's already somebody they testified, but if
- 8 it's not, then I will address that.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Are you also saying that you
- 10 can't make March 12th a hard and fast date?
- 11 MR. ELMOSA: I think it should be fine. If
- 12 there's any issues, I will let you know a week before
- 13 that; but March 12th should be sufficient. It's 21
- 14 days.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. And how long do you
- 16 think -- you're going to have to wait until you get
- 17 the outline to know how much time you're going to
- 18 need?
- 19 MS. GRAHAM: Yeah, I mean, I think the main
- 20 issue -- I think our main concern, we can get people
- 21 ready to go within a reasonable amount of time, but I
- 22 think our main concern is doing the same thing again

- 1 since this seems to be the history in this case is
- 2 coming, being prepared and then the other side not
- 3 being prepared. So if we, you know, set a date and
- 4 we come down here with three, four five, however many
- 5 witnesses to rebut, you know, we better be ready to
- 6 go. So I think that's our concern. Our concern is
- 7 when is your expert available? Can you --
- 8 MR. ELMOSA: He gave me dates -- he gave me
- 9 dates in March, but I guess March is not going to be
- 10 available now, so he told me April is open for him
- 11 still.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: If Counsel gets the outline, on
- 13 March 12, do you --
- MS. GRAHAM: We can be ready any time in April.
- MR. ELMOSA: The only issue with the week of
- 16 March -- April 5th, which is a Sunday through the
- 17 Sunday, I am on Spring Break with my family, so
- 18 either we can do it the week before or April 15th.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: I'm more inclined to go the week
- 20 before but what -- did Mr. Hanson say -- what did he
- 21 say about March 31st?
- MS. GRAHAM: Actually, I think Miss Beuchler is

- 1 unavailable on March 31st, according to my calendar,
- 2 anyway; so if we could do the 1st, 2nd or 3rd -- the
- 3 31st is okay.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: The 31st is okay?
- 5 MS. GRAHAM: Yeah.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Do you think that will give you
- 7 enough time because that's --
- 8 MS. GRAHAM: Assuming that --
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: -- two weeks and change.
- 10 MS. GRAHAM: Assuming that March 12th is a hard
- 11 and fast date and we actually get that, then we can
- 12 be ready by the 31st, yes.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Let's go with that.
- 14 Mr. Elmosa, that -- March 12 gives you a full --
- MR. ELMOSA: We're going to what date now?
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: You're going to get the outline
- of the testimony to Counsel by March 12 and you
- 18 have -- that gives you the better part of three
- 19 weeks. That gives you just about three weeks on the
- 20 nose.
- MR. ELMOSA: All right. We're going to March
- 22 31st.

- JUDGE RILEY: And March 31st that's over --
- 2 that gives over two weeks for respondent to suggest
- 3 the information sent.
- 4 MR. ELMOSA: If you could give me one second,
- 5 let me see if Paul Hanson answers his phone just to
- 6 verify because he gave me up to March 17th; but let
- 7 me just make sure that -- March 31st, give me one
- 8 minute to make a call.
- 9 (Break taken.)
- 10 MR. ELMOSA: He's not answering his phone. We
- 11 can set it for March 31st and I can let you know
- 12 by -- later on today to make sure I confirm it, but
- 13 he gave me all of April, so I don't know if you want
- 14 to just go with April 2nd or 1st date.
- 15 JUDGE RILEY: April 1?
- MS. GRAHAM: Sure.
- JUDGE RILEY: It's only one day off, so if he
- 18 said April 1 --
- 19 MR. ELMOSA: He said all of April is open.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: All of April. I've got April 1
- 21 open.
- MS. GRAHAM: April 1st works.

- 1 MR. ELMOSA: Okay. We'll set it for April 1st.
- 2 MS. GRAHAM: 11:00 o'clock with work for your
- 3 expert or do you want to give him more time to get
- 4 down here?
- 5 MR. ELMOSA: Well, he does come by Amtrak, so
- if you want to be safe, then let's do the afternoon
- 7 just to make sure he's here. I mean, that will be
- 8 better.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Could we do a 1:30 start?
- 10 MR. ELMOSA: That's fine.
- JUDGE RILEY: So March 12, you'll have the
- 12 outline to Respondent's Counsel in March -- excuse
- me, April 1 we will convene at 1:30. We will convene
- 14 for hearing.
- MR. ELMOSA: Okay.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: I am advised to admonish you,
- 17 Mr. Elmosa, I am not the least bit disposed to
- 18 continuing this thing again. So, really, nail
- 19 Mr. Hanson and your other witnesses down and tell
- them they've got to be here, they've got till 1:30 to
- 21 get into town.
- 22 And in the meantime, please get that

- 1 motion filed to change the caption to reflect the
- 2 Secretary of State name and also the extension.
- 3 MS. GRAHAM: Mr. Elmosa, I'll send you a copy
- 4 of the motion to extend. I have one that I could use
- 5 that's readily available and then if you could just
- 6 get that back to me in a timely fashion --
- 7 MR. ELMOSA: Hold on. He's on the other line,
- 8 Paul, so just hold on.
- 9 (Break taken.)
- 10 MR. ELMOSA: Yeah, April 1st fine with him.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Great.
- 12 I'd just like to reiterate, file the
- 13 motion to change the corporate name to reflect what
- 14 name on the Secretary of State records.
- And, Counsel, you will send in the
- 16 form for the extension.
- 17 MS. GRAHAM: That's right.
- Mr. Elmosa, I'll e-mail that to you,
- 19 if that's fine and you can send it back to me and
- 20 I'll file it with the Clerk.
- 21 MR. ELMOSA: I will. Thank you very much.
- 22 JUDGE RILEY: All right. And we are set for

```
1
     hearing on April 1 at 1:30 p.m. and continuances are
     only granted only for the utmost of compelling of
2
3
     reasons. Thank you very much.
                    (Whereupon, the hearing in the
4
5
                    above-entitled matter was
6
                    continued until April 1, 2015
                    at 1:30 p.m. for hearing.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```